

with immediate issues that need public discussion not afforded by the Planning Board. The Planning Board is not interested in public comment, nor does it listen carefully when comments are forced upon them.

56

#17 should *not* substitute for Councilor Carlone's policy placing the City
[Quoted text hidden]

Walter McDonald <waltermcdonald40@gmail.com>
To: Donna Lopez <dlopez@cambridgema.gov>

Mon, Jul 28, 2014 at 4:09 PM

Please include this as part of the official record of tonight's meeting:

The council should adopt Policy order #4 to prevent the loss of the silver Maple Forest. Read what local residents have already said about this.

The council should vote NO on Policy order #17 because it does not deal with immediate issues that need public discussion not afforded by the Planning Board. The Planning Board is not interested in public comment, nor does it listen carefully when comments are forced upon them.

#17 should not substitute for Councilor Carlone's policy placing the City Council in the position to review and vote approval on all Special Permits during the Master Planning process. In particular, the development of the 75 New Street building must be halted to conduct a thorough environmental review of the project to prevent unsuspecting Cambridge residents from exposure to chemical residues in the soil and the chronic diseases that would befall them due to that contamination from previous commercial ventures on the site. Read the available report: it would be irresponsible, verging on criminal, to let this project go forward at this point.

Walter McDonald

Lopez, Donna <dlopez@cambridgema.gov>
To: Walter McDonald <waltermcdonald40@gmail.com>

Mon, Jul 28, 2014 at 4:25 PM

Walter,

Your letter was received after the deadline of 5 pm on Thursday and will not be part of the agenda for the 7/28/14 council meeting unless you appear at the meeting and submit this at the meeting. If not it will be on the 9/8/14 agenda.

Very truly yours

Donna P. Lopez

City Clerk