

CAMBRIDGE POLICE DEPARTMENT



2007

FIRST QUARTER CRIME REPORT

COVERING JANUARY 1- MARCH 31, 2007

Statistics, Descriptions and Analysis Covering Part I and II Crimes	Cambridge Neighborhood Crime Statistics
CRIME ANALYSIS UNIT 5 Western Avenue • Cambridge, MA 02139 617.349.3390 crimeanalysis@cambridgepolice.org	Robert C. Haas , <i>Police Commissioner</i> Robert W. Healy , <i>City Manager</i>

Crime reports are now available online at www.cambridgepolice.org

CAMBRIDGE POLICE DEPARTMENT 2007 FIRST QUARTER CRIME REPORT

**Produced by the
Cambridge Police Department
Crime Analysis Unit:**

Richard E. Sevieri
Strategic Analysis Coordinator

Rebecca Burbank
Crime Analyst

**Susan DeAmato
Meghan McKenney
Christopher Vetter**
Crime Analysis Interns

Stephen Maywalt
Information Systems Manager

Michael DeSantis
Information Systems Specialist

Sergeant Daniel Wagner
Special Projects

Sergeant Frederic Riley
Unit Supervisor

Cambridge Police Department
5 Western Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139
617-349-3390

crimeanalysis@cambridgepolice.org
<http://www.cambridgepolice.org>

Deputy Michael Walsh
Deputy Superintendent of
Training, Technical, and Administration

Superintendent Michael Giacoppo
Superintendent of Support Services

2007 FIRST QUARTER SUMMARY

MURDER:

- ◆ There were no homicides in the first quarter of 2007 in Cambridge.
- ◆ The most recent homicide conviction was that of Kevin Robinson, who, on March 26, 2007, was found guilty of arson and two counts of second-degree murder, among other charges. In August of 2005, two people died as a result of a fire set by Robinson in their building. Robinson, who was a previous tenant of the same building, allegedly had ongoing problems with the building's landlord.

RAPE:

- ◆ Five rapes were reported in the first quarter of 2007. Four of the five rapes were non-stranger incidents (three acquaintance rapes and one domestic). The lone stranger incident was a contact rape (see category description below) that took place very early in the first quarter. None of the incidents were related.
 - **Acquaintance Rapes** are non-domestic rapes committed by someone who knows the victim. They include rapes of co-workers, schoolmates, friends, and other acquaintances. This category includes "date rapes."
 - **Blitz Rapes** are stranger rapes in which the rapist assaults the victim on the street with no prior contact. Generally, the suspect "comes out of nowhere."
 - **Contact Rapes** are stranger rapes in which the suspect contacts the victim and tries to gain his/her confidence before assaulting him/her. Contact rapists typically pick up their victims in bars, lure them into their cars or houses, or otherwise try to coerce the victim into a situation in which they can begin their assault.
 - **Domestic Rapes** involve rapes between spouses, romantic partners, and family members.

ROBBERY:

- ◆ Street robberies were down by 3% from last year's first quarter.
- ◆ Commercial robberies dropped by nearly half in comparison to last year, declining 47% from 15 incidents in 2006 to 8 in 2007.
- ◆ Two individuals were arrested after robbing two separate victims during a three-day period in January. In both incidents, the victim was threatened and assaulted before handing over his property.
- ◆ In late February through mid-March, there was a developing pattern of pack robberies in which three juveniles approached their victims and demanded money. All of the victim characteristics differed, but two of the incidents involved an assault and all three robberies took place around 9:00-10:00 p.m.
- ◆ There was a notable pattern of commercial robberies that developed along the border of Somerville and Cambridge toward the end of March (three incidents in each jurisdiction). This pattern came to an end on March 30 after officers arrested a man as he fled from the *Dunkin Donuts* he had just robbed in Cambridge.

See the Pattern Analysis section for more information on robbery trends.

BURGLARY:

- ◆ *Commercial Burglary:*
 - There was one less incident this quarter compared to the same time frame last year. Of the 35 incidents, 8 were attempts where entry was apparently not gained, 4 were smash and grabs in which the perpetrator smashed a window or door for quick access, and 1 was an inside job perpetrated by a current or former employee.
 - Three incidents resulted in five total arrests (four Cambridge residents and one Braintree resident). Two of the arresting incidents were attempts where the suspects were caught in the act of breaking into the *Margaret Fuller House* or *Bertucci's Pizzeria*. The third arresting incident was a copper theft.

See the Commercial Burglary Pattern Analysis section for a review of this crime.

- ◆ *Residential Burglary:*
 - Residential burglary experienced the greatest increase of all the major crimes in the first quarter of 2007, rising 130% from 60 incidents to 138. Twenty-two of these 138 incidents were attempts, where entry into the victims' homes was not gained.
 - A number of significant housebreak patterns took place during the first quarter, contributing to the sizable increase in housebreaks so far this year. Five neighborhoods that experienced the brunt of these housebreaks were East Cambridge, Area 4, Cambridgeport, Mid-Cambridge, and Peabody.
 - Laptops, jewelry, digital cameras, and MP3 players were the top four targeted items of housebreaks in the first quarter.
 - Nine people were arrested for residential burglary during the first quarter. Notably, a Somerville resident was apprehended in late January for multiple breaks in the Peabody neighborhood, and four juveniles from Cambridge were arrested in early January in connection with a Sector 1 housebreak pattern occurring in December and January.

See the Residential Burglary Pattern Analysis section for a review of these patterns.

LARCENY:

- ◆ Larcenies in the first quarter of 2007 experienced an overall decline of 6% in comparison to last year, with only two types of larceny—larceny from person and larceny of bicycles—seeing an increase. A large proportion of the 487 incidents of larceny this year can be attributed to larcenies from motor vehicles (LMVs), which increased by 47% from 2005 to 2006 and remained high in the first quarter of 2007.
 - LMV patterns developed in East Cambridge (on streets near the Galleria Mall and garages in Cambridge Center), West Cambridge (on Brattle and Craigie Streets), and the Mass Ave corridor between Peabody and Agassiz.
 - The most significant larceny trend of the first three months has been the theft of GPS Navigation systems from motor vehicles. The larceny of these systems is a national trend. They are popular targets for theft because they can be expensive and they are easy to steal, resell, and conceal.
- ◆ There was a pattern of larcenies from persons in Harvard Square from mid-February to early March. This pattern involved the thefts of purses and wallets from victims at bars and restaurants in the Square. The pattern was eradicated after the arrest of a female suspect on an unrelated charge.

For more details, see the Pattern Analysis section.

AUTO THEFT:

- ◆ In the first three months of 2007, the auto theft total was 20% higher than last year's first quarter total. Of the 53 stolen cars, East Cambridge, Area 4, and Cambridgeport together reported 53% of the auto thefts.
- ◆ Sixty percent of the stolen cars have been recovered to date, with the majority recovered outside of Cambridge.
- ◆ Even though there was an increase this year, the total number of auto thefts is still considered to be exceptionally low. Therefore, no definite patterns could be distinguished during the first quarter.


CRIMES REPORTED IN CAMBRIDGE

January 1 to March 31, 2007

Part I Crime	2004 1/1-3/31	2005 1/1-3/31	2006 1/1-3/31	2007 1/1-3/31	% Change 06-07
Murder	0	1	2	0	Inc.*
Rape	0	2	2	5	Inc.*
Stranger	0	1	0	1	Inc.*
Non-Stranger	0	1	2	4	Inc.*
Robbery	65	67	44	36	-18%
Commercial	20	23	15	8	-47%
Street	45	44	29	28	-3%
Aggravated Assault	60	24	50	53	6%
Burglary	133	171	96	173	80%
Commercial	29	39	36	35	-3%
Residential	104	132	60	138	130%
Larceny	567	460	520	487	-6%
Building	165	105	100	95	-5%
From Motor Vehicle	136	122	179	172	-4%
Person	68	59	66	70	6%
Bicycle	18	9	8	14	75%
Shoplifting	110	114	94	84	-11%
Residence	45	37	52	28	-46%
MV Plate	10	6	11	9	-18%
Services	6	4	5	5	--
Misc.	9	4	5	10	100%
Auto Theft	92	57	44	53	20%
Total Violent	125	94	98	94	-4%
Total Prop.	792	688	660	713	8%
Index Total	917	782	758	807	6%

*Note: percentages are not calculated for numbers so small as to lead to a statistically misleading percentage.

5 Year Crime Comparison
January - March



Highlights: First Three Months in Review

After two years of decline, the first quarter index crime total has increased to its highest level since 2004. Overall, index crimes in 2007 have climbed by 6% compared to last year. The most drastic increase has been in residential burglaries, which are up by a staggering 130% over 2006. A number of neighborhood housebreak patterns contributed to this upsurge. On the other hand, there has been a notable decrease in commercial robberies reported during the first quarter. Cambridge has seen 47% fewer commercial robberies this year than in 2006.

Violent crime is down by 4% in the first quarter, fueled by a decrease in the previously mentioned commercial robberies. There have been no murders thus far in 2007, whereas both murders in 2006 took place during the first quarter.

Property crime totals are up 8% compared to last year. However, larceny is down 6% overall, despite the substantial number of larcenies from motor vehicles that continues to be a significant problem in the city. GPS systems have been the main targets of these larcenies.

CRIMES REPORTED IN CAMBRIDGE

Part II Crime	2005 1/1-3/31	2006 1/1-3/31	2007 1/1-3/31	% Change 06-07
Narcotics	47	27	25	-7%
Malicious Destruction Total	160	152	142	-7%
Attempted Theft	2	1	14	Inc.
Business (Other)	6	5	8	60%
Business Window	12	11	11	No Change
Car (Other)	27	24	29	21%
Car Window	27	39	33	-15%
Graffiti	15	24	13	-46%
House Window	4	7	4	-43%
Pinstripping	13	11	16	45%
Residence (Other)	10	12	3	-75%
Revenge	9	1	5	Inc.
Tire Slashing	35	17	6	-65%
Fraud Total	110	103	84	-18%
Embezzlement	1	1	3	Inc.
Counterfeiting	4	3	0	Inc.
Identity Theft	31	36	19	-47%
Forgery/ Uttering Total	60	44	53	20%
Application	3	0	3	Inc.
Bad Check	6	15	3	-80%
Forged Check	14	8	10	25%
ATM/Credit Card	36	19	30	58%
Miscellaneous Forgery	1	2	7	250%
Con Game Total	14	19	9	-53%
Big Carrot Con	3	2	0	Inc.
Utility Impostor Con	0	0	0	No Change
Pigeon Drop Con	3	0	1	Inc.
Charity	0	1	0	Inc.
Other Internet Related	3	10	4	-60%
Miscellaneous Con games	5	6	4	-33%
Sex Offenses Total	12	21	10	-52%
Annoying & Accosting	3	7	3	-57%
Indecent Assault	2	8	1	Inc.
Peeping & Spying	0	3	0	Inc.
Prostitution and Soliciting	4	1	0	Inc.
Indecent Exposure	3	2	6	200%
Disorderly Conduct	4	9	10	11%
Drinking in Public	2	2	1	-50%
Extortion/Blackmail	0	0	0	No Change
Hit & Run Accidents	222	157	164	4%
Kidnapping	0	2	0	Inc.
Liquor Violations	0	2	0	Inc.
OUI	12	16	15	-6%
Simple Assault	135	91	73	-20%
Threats	79	63	41	-35%
Trespassing	10	15	10	-33%
Weapons Violations	5	10	15	50%
TOTAL Part II Crimes	788	670	590	

Highlights: First Three Months in Review

Overall, malicious destruction incidents decreased by seven percent this year. However, attempted auto thefts involving damaged ignitions or steering columns increased substantially compared to the past 2 years. East Cambridge and Riverside experienced the most malicious destruction, with 22 and 20 incidents, respectively. Damage to residences (both in broken windows and “other”) decreased by 63% overall.

Forgery involving credit and debit cards was up by 58% this year. In a majority of the cases, the victims reported unauthorized charges made to their credit or bank accounts. Often this occurred after their wallets were stolen or credit cards misplaced, but it was also reported by some victims who were still in possession of their cards and had no knowledge of how someone acquired their information.

Reports of Internet-related cons decreased this quarter. However, it is likely that the actual incidence of this crime is higher than the number reported to the police, due to underreporting. One popular Internet scam this quarter involved the sale of items through the Internet for which the suspects sent fraudulent checks over the asking price. The suspects then asked the victims to deposit the checks and wire the excess money back to them.

*Note: Inc. = percentages are not calculated for numbers so small, so as to prevent a statistically misleading percentage.

FIRST QUARTER 2007 PATTERN ANALYSIS AND POLICE RESPONSE STRATEGIES

LARGE INCREASE IN RESIDENTIAL BURGLARIES – MULTIPLE ARRESTS:

Multiple housebreak patterns emerged in Cambridge during the first three months of 2007, leading to a 130% increase in housebreaks over last year's first quarter. By far the most typical targets in these incidents were laptops, which were stolen in at least 42 of the 138 housebreaks this quarter. Jewelry and small electronics (digital cameras, iPods, etc.) were stolen frequently as well. Also important to note was the increased involvement of juveniles in a number of these housebreak patterns. This trend had not been seen in Cambridge in a number of years.

The first pattern of the year, which was a continuation of a pattern that began in December of 2006, involved houses in Sector 1 along the border of East Cambridge and Inman/Harrington. Main entry was by way of side or rear windows. Four teenage males were arrested in early January after officers observed one of the four attempting to open house windows while the other three acted as lookouts.

In mid-January, a pattern developed along the border between Area 4 and Inman/Harrington in which suspects forced open doors to residences. A Cambridge man, who was arrested for a housebreak in Mid-Cambridge, was also suspected of committing the housebreaks in Area 4. No more incidents were reported in this specific pattern after this suspect's arrest. However, a housebreak pattern reappeared in this same area in mid-March, with doors kicked in and laptops stolen. Three teenage males were arrested for these housebreaks in April.

In late January, two males were arrested for breaking into residences in the Peabody neighborhood. One of the two men, a Somerville resident, was also a suspect in similar housebreaks in Boston.

The other most significant housebreak pattern this quarter took place in Mid-Cambridge from late December into mid-February. Twenty-six houses were broken into, mostly by way of pried door locks. A number of arrests were made in connection with this pattern, but the pattern did not come to an end until two Cambridge residents who lived near the pattern area were arrested for a housebreak in Brighton.

COMMERCIAL BURGLARIES:

Commercial burglaries in the first quarter remained relatively stable from 2006 to 2007, with a decrease of only one incident this year. Unlike residential burglaries, commercial burglaries exhibited no sizeable patterns during the first quarter. There was a pattern of commercial burglaries in Area 4 and Inman/Harrington at the end of fourth quarter of 2006, but this pattern did not continue into 2007.

Copper thefts, which became a significant problem in 2006, were seen only sporadically at commercial establishments during the first three months of this year. One of the few locations to experience copper theft problems was *Barrell Plumbing Co.* on Spinelli Place. This establishment was hit twice during the first quarter, but officers caught and arrested two men in the second incident.

Officers also arrested three juveniles from Cambridge in January. Two were attempting to break into *Bertucci's Pizzeria*, and one was seen trying to force open a window of the *Margaret Fuller House*.

STREET ROBBERY TRENDS:

There were 28 street robberies in the first quarter of the year, down only one incident from the previous year's first quarter. Arrests were made in 7 of the 28 incidents.

Streets in and around Harvard University/Square experienced two rounds of street robberies during the first quarter. In January, two juvenile males from Roslindale and Dorchester were arrested after they hit a victim over the head with an umbrella and attempted to take his iPod. The suspects were initially chased away, but when officers apprehended them later that day, they found an iPod on the suspects that had been taken in a street robbery of a Harvard student two days earlier. There were also three robberies in

the Harvard Square area in early to mid-March, two of which occurred within minutes of each other, and all having similar suspect descriptions. The suspect could not be found.

There was also a brief pattern of pack robberies that took place from late February into mid-March. Although somewhat spread apart in different neighborhoods (Area 4, Inman/Harrington, and Mid-Cambridge), all three incidents took place in the evenings between 9:00 and 10:00 p.m. and all involved three unarmed, young, male suspects with similar descriptions. Victims were only assaulted if they refused to give money or belongings to the suspects.

DECREASE IN COMMERCIAL ROBBERIES:

Commercial robberies dropped by nearly 50% this year in comparison to the same time period in 2006. A salon and a liquor store were robbed in January, and three banks were robbed over the course of the quarter. The only arrest in these five incidents was made in the liquor store robbery.

Despite the low number of commercial robbery incidents this quarter, one pattern did emerge. In March, a cross-jurisdictional pattern surfaced along the border of Somerville and Cambridge, involving a total of six robberies in a single week. The suspect had a knife in all six incidents and targeted two convenience stores, a market, a laundromat, and two *Dunkin Donuts* (one in Somerville and one in Cambridge). The suspect, a Cambridge resident, was finally apprehended following the incident at the *Dunkin Donuts* in Cambridge.

LARCENIES FROM MOTOR VEHICLES – FOCUS ON GPS THEFTS:

There were 172 larcenies from motor vehicles in the first quarter of 2007. Nearly 37% of these larcenies targeted GPS Navigation systems. The number of GPS systems stolen during the first quarter alone nearly tripled from 22 in 2006 to 64 in 2007, and there are no signs that this trend is slowing. One of the main concerns is that people are leaving their GPS systems unattended in plain view on car dashboards, making them easy targets for thieves that may be casing the area. These thieves simply break one of the car windows and grab the GPS. A majority of the thefts during the first quarter took place in parking garages and lots, most specifically in the garages in Cambridge Center, Technology Square, Kendall Square, and on streets near the Galleria Mall. There was also a concentration along the Mass Ave corridor between Agassiz and Peabody. The most common time frame for GPS thefts was during the day when the vehicle owners were at work away from their cars.

Larcenies from motor vehicles in general (involving the thefts of stereos, iPods, laptops, etc.) have been seen both during the day and overnight. For example, overnight between February 5 and 6, 14 vehicles were broken into on the Riverside/Mid-Cambridge border. A Cambridge man was arrested after a witness saw him break into one of the vehicles.

CAMBRIDGE POLICE RESPONSE STRATEGIES

The Cambridge Police Department utilizes a number of different strategies and tactics to identify and interdict crime patterns and trends. *Problem Oriented Policing* is a technique that is employed to combat long-standing crime problems. With POP, the Department analyzes situations, responds employing an array of suitable strategies, and then assesses the outcome of these actions. The community is kept abreast of emerging hotspots in their neighborhoods through scheduled monthly meetings. In more serious cases, a crime alert is dispatched to the affected area.

If ongoing target crime patterns arise, various patrol responses are deployed. Some officers are used as decoys, surveillance units cover potential suspects, and patrol cruisers are directed to specific locations for deterrence and suppression of criminal activity. Regardless of the response strategy, the safety of all citizens remains paramount to the Cambridge Police Department.

CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

NEIGHBORHOODS



FIVE-YEAR NEIGHBORHOOD CRIME COMPARISON

NEIGHBORHOOD 1: East Cambridge

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2003	Jan-Mar 2004	Jan-Mar 2005	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007
Housebreaks	8	5	27	4	5
Street Robbery	3	4	4	3	2
Auto Theft	10	18	7	3	8
Larceny from MVs	19	18	10	29	20
Malicious Destruction	39	18	15	16	22
Drug Incidents	7	2	5	0	5

NEIGHBORHOOD 2: M.I.T. Area

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2003	Jan-Mar 2004	Jan-Mar 2005	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007
Housebreaks	0	1	0	0	0
Street Robbery	0	1	2	0	0
Auto Theft	1	3	1	0	2
Larceny from MVs	2	1	4	4	2
Malicious Destruction	7	4	3	2	0
Drug Incidents	0	0	1	0	0

NEIGHBORHOOD 3: Inman/Harrington

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2003	Jan-Mar 2004	Jan-Mar 2005	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007
Housebreaks	1	6	5	4	21
Street Robbery	4	2	4	5	4
Auto Theft	14	13	3	8	2
Larceny from MVs	7	8	7	19	17
Malicious Destruction	17	14	17	13	11
Drug Incidents	3	6	4	3	1

NEIGHBORHOOD 4: Area 4

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2003	Jan-Mar 2004	Jan-Mar 2005	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007
Housebreaks	10	14	5	6	25
Street Robbery	7	13	6	8	6
Auto Theft	8	6	5	4	11
Larceny from MVs	6	16	8	12	7
Malicious Destruction	12	14	10	23	9
Drug Incidents	5	5	9	9	5

NEIGHBORHOOD 5: Cambridgeport

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2003	Jan-Mar 2004	Jan-Mar 2005	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007
Housebreaks	8	11	19	4	18
Street Robbery	10	9	8	1	6
Auto Theft	10	16	9	4	9
Larceny from MVs	9	28	12	14	14
Malicious Destruction	38	29	17	21	17
Drug Incidents	2	5	9	3	7

NEIGHBORHOOD 6: Mid-Cambridge

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2003	Jan-Mar 2004	Jan-Mar 2005	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007
Housebreaks	12	17	24	11	23
Street Robbery	4	3	4	1	3
Auto Theft	8	11	9	7	4
Larceny from MVs	12	10	10	18	22
Malicious Destruction	14	15	32	10	14
Drug Incidents	2	1	9	2	2

NEIGHBORHOOD 7: Riverside

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2003	Jan-Mar 2004	Jan-Mar 2005	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007
Housebreaks	6	6	9	5	7
Street Robbery	6	8	5	1	3
Auto Theft	2	1	2	4	2
Larceny from MVs	2	6	6	4	12
Malicious Destruction	13	10	15	12	20
Drug Incidents	1	3	3	3	3

NEIGHBORHOOD 8: Agassiz

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2003	Jan-Mar 2004	Jan-Mar 2005	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007
Housebreaks	0	0	4	7	1
Street Robbery	4	0	1	0	1
Auto Theft	3	4	4	2	2
Larceny from MVs	3	1	11	8	16
Malicious Destruction	0	0	4	3	6
Drug Incidents	2	8	1	1	0

NEIGHBORHOOD 9: Peabody

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2003	Jan-Mar 2004	Jan-Mar 2005	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007
Housebreaks	9	15	17	5	16
Street Robbery	6	3	2	3	0
Auto Theft	4	8	3	2	3
Larceny from MVs	6	17	17	16	21
Malicious Destruction	10	20	15	9	10
Drug Incidents	0	2	0	1	1

NEIGHBORHOOD 10: West Cambridge

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2003	Jan-Mar 2004	Jan-Mar 2005	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007
Housebreaks	2	7	10	7	7
Street Robbery	2	0	1	2	2
Auto Theft	7	5	5	1	4
Larceny from MVs	8	10	12	28	24
Malicious Destruction	21	10	8	17	12
Drug Incidents	1	0	2	1	0

NEIGHBORHOOD 11: North Cambridge

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2003	Jan-Mar 2004	Jan-Mar 2005	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007
Housebreaks	4	13	10	7	13
Street Robbery	7	1	5	5	1
Auto Theft	7	6	8	8	5
Larceny from MVs	13	13	14	10	13
Malicious Destruction	26	20	16	21	17
Drug Incidents	2	3	3	3	1

NEIGHBORHOOD 12: Cambridge Highlands

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2003	Jan-Mar 2004	Jan-Mar 2005	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007
Housebreaks	0	0	0	0	1
Street Robbery	0	0	2	0	0
Auto Theft	1	2	0	0	1
Larceny from MVs	7	2	7	8	3
Malicious Destruction	7	17	3	4	2
Drug Incidents	1	1	1	0	0

NEIGHBORHOOD 13: Strawberry Hill

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2003	Jan-Mar 2004	Jan-Mar 2005	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007
Housebreaks	3	1	2	0	1
Street Robbery	1	1	0	0	0
Auto Theft	1	3	0	1	0
Larceny from MVs	0	3	4	9	1
Malicious Destruction	3	2	5	0	2
Drug Incidents	2	0	0	1	0

Neighborhood Crime Comparison Table January-March 2007

Crime	East Camb	MIT	Inman	Area 4	Camb-port	Mid-Camb	River-side	Agassiz	Peabody	West Camb	North Camb	Camb Highlands	Straw-berry Hill
Auto Theft	8	2	2	11	9	4	2	2	3	4	5	1	0
Drug Incidents	5	0	1	5	7	2	3	0	1	0	1	0	0
Housebreak	5	0	21	25	18	23	7	1	16	7	13	1	1
Larceny from Building	15	3	3	9	12	4	16	6	7	12	4	4	0
Larceny from MV	20	2	17	7	14	22	12	16	21	24	13	3	1
Larceny from Person	9	2	4	7	9	2	11	4	1	14	5	2	0
Larceny from Residence	3	1	1	2	3	8	2	1	0	2	5	0	0
Larceny of Bicycle	1	1	2	1	0	1	2	0	2	2	2	0	0
Larceny of Plate	1	0	2	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Larceny of Services	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Mal. Dest. Property	22	0	11	9	17	14	20	6	10	12	17	2	2
Street Robbery	2	0	4	6	6	3	3	1	0	2	1	0	0