

7

Lopez, Donna

From: Charles Teague [charles.d.teague@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2012 4:15 PM
To: City Council
Cc: Lopez, Donna
Subject: DELAY Forest City!

Councillors,

The Forest City proposal, after all this time, still changes at every hearing. You simply cannot, in good faith, vote on it now. It is not ready if it has not settled down.

Forest City clearly has unfulfilled obligations from agreements made long ago. It is even more clear that we do not know exactly what they are. Any delay to clarify these obligations is Forest City's own fault. It is the Takeda (the 100% owner of the former Millennium) company's responsibility for accepting Forest City as a landlord.

This proposal remains outside the Central Square planning process. This the planning opportunity of our lifetimes. We can say where labs should be and where housing should be. Thousands of residents are affected. A few months delay, considering buildings will be here for a century, cannot be denied in good conscience.

Finally, a payment for a fraction of the value you would be granting to Forest City is legally questionable. But far more importantly, the Zoning Ordinance's "mission statement" clearly prioritizes the health, welfare, safety, and all round quality of life for the people over individual developer's schemes.

Charles Teague

23 Edmunds Street